<u>Uniti</u>	ed States Patent .	and Trademark Office	UNITED STATES DEPARTM United States Patent and Tr Address: COMMISSIONER OP PA Washington, D.C. 20231 www.usplo.gov	ademark Office
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/692,634	10/19/2000	Paul John Rennie	8308	8314
27752 7590 03/27/2002 THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY			EXAMINER	
	TECHNICAL CENTER	SHARAREH, SHAHNAM J		
5299 SPRING GROVE AVENUE CINCINNATI, OH 45217			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1617 DATE MAILED: 03/27/2002	Ģ

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

>

· ·		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	-	09/692,634	RENNIE ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Shahnam Sharare	h 1617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, howeve y within the statutory minimi vill apply and will expire SIX , cause the application to be	r, may a reply be timely filed um of thirty (30) days will be considered time (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this c ecome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 (<u> October 2000</u> .					
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b) Th	is action is non-fina	ıl.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-53 is/are pending in the application	l.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from considerati	on.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-53</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
Priority L	Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr	ı priority under 35 L	J.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)[□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been receive	ed.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been receive	ed in Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 l	J.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisiona	l application).			
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachmen							
2) 🗌 Notic 3) 🗌 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🗌 No	terview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No otice of Informal Patent Application (PT her:				
I.S. Patent and Tr PTO-326 (Re		tion Summary	Dete	Paper No. 6			

Election/Restrictions

- metal-pyroglutamic acid complex, classified in class 510, subclass 212.
- III. Claims 39-53, drawn to compositions for inactivating viruses comprising organic acids, classified in class 514, subclass 556 +.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I, II and III are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP ' 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP ' 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as antimicrobial and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Page 1

1

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper, furthermore, the search of one is not required for the other, thus, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: various organic acids, various metal salts, Mucason and Mucason and Annual Salts, Mucas

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, 1, 20, 39 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the

Page 2

A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL

case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to John Howell on March 17 and 21, 2002 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shahnam Sharareh whose telephone number is 703-306-5400. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am - 6:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Minna Moezie can be reached on 703-308-4612. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4556 for regular communications and 703-308-4556 for After Final communications. Any inquiry of a

general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be

directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1123.

ss March 21, 2002

ß

Moer

MINNA MOEZIE, J.D. SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600